

Update zu EUCAST 2012 Cornelia Lass-Flörl

Frühjahrstagung 2012 Paul-Ehrlich-Gesellschaft Sektion Antimykotische Chemotherapie

Bonn, 4./5. Mai 2012



Agenda

- 1. Breakpoints
- 2. Rationale documents and technical notes
- 3. website (www.eucast.org)



Revision of E.DEF 7.1 \rightarrow E.DEF 7.2 (susceptibility testing of yeasts)

- DMSO recommended as solvent for caspofungin, micafungin and fluconazole.
- Shelf life microtitre plates extended to 6 months at -80 °C
- *Cryptococcus* included (incubation time 48-72 hours at 35 °C (30 °C if insufficient growth)
- QC ranges provided for anidulafungin and *C. krusei* ATCC 6258, *C. parapsilosis* ATCC 22019



Breakpoints in 2011-12

- Aspergillus and amphotericin
- Aspergillus and itraconazole
- Aspergillus and posaconazole _
- Aspergillus and voriconazole

Rationale Doc.s and combined TN submitted to CMI

Discussion document

EUCAST-AFST documents



Reference Methods

- Yeast
 - E.DEF 7.2 (2012)
 - TN- E.DEF 7.2 (In Press)
 - E.DEF 7.1 (2008)
 - TN- E.DEF 7.1 (2008)

- Conidia forming moulds
 - E.DEF 9.1 (2008)
 - TN-E.DEF 9.1 (2008)

Breakpoints

Compound	Са	ndida	Aspergillus				
compound	Rationale Doc	Techn. Note CMI	Rationale Doc	Techn. Note CMI			
Amphotericin	2010	2011	2012	Submitted *			
Anidulafungin	2010	2011					
Fluconazole	2007	2008	-	-			
Itraconazole			2012	Submitted *			
Posaconazole	2010	2011	2012	Submitted *			
Voriconazole	2008	2008	Discussion Doc.				



Aspergillus spp.

EUCAST Antifungal Clinical Breakpoint Table v. 4.1, valid from 2012-03-05

MIC method (EUCAST standardised broth microdilution method)
Medium: RPMI1640-2% glucose, MOPS as buffer
Inoculum: Final 1x10(5) – 2.5x10(5) cfu/mL
Incubation: 48h
Reading: Visual
Quality control: A. fumigatus ATCC 204305, A. flavus ATCC
204304, A. fumigatus F 6919, A. flavus CM 1813, C. parapsilosis
ATCC 22019 (read after 18-24 h) or C. krusei ATCC 6258 (read after
18-24 h)

	MIC breakpoint (mg/L)												
Antifungal agent A. flavus		avus	A. fumigatus		A. nidulans		A. niger		A. terreus		Non-species related breakpoints ¹		Notes
	S≤	R >	S≤	R >	S≤	R >	S≤	R >	S≤	R >	S≤	R >	
													 Non-species related breakpoints have been determined mainly on the basis of PK/PD data and are independent of MIC distributions of specific species. They are for use only for organisms that do not have specific breakpoints.
<u>Amphotericin B</u>	IE ²	IE ²	1	2	Note ³	Note ³	1	2	-	-	IE	IE	 The ECOFFs for these species are in general one step higher than for <i>A. fumigatus</i>. There are too few MIC data to establish ECOFFs and hence to suggest any breakpoints.
Anidulafungin	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	
Caspofungin	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	
Fluconazole	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<u>ltraconazole⁴</u>	1	2	1	2	1	2	IE ^{2,5}	IE ^{2,5}	1	2	IE⁵	IE⁵	 4. Monitoring of itraconazole trough concentrations in patients treated for fungal infection is recommended. 5. The MIC values for isolates of <i>A. niger</i> and <i>A. versicolor</i> are in general higher than those for <i>A. fumigatus</i>. Whether this translates into a poorer clinical response is unknown.
Micafungin	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	
Posaconazole	ΙΕ²	ΙΕ²	0.126	0.25 ⁶	IE ²	IE ²	IE ²	ΙΕ²	0.126	0.256	IE	IE	6. Provided adequate drug exposure has been confirmed using therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM). There remains some uncertainty regarding cut-off values for posaconazole concentrations that separate patients with a high probability of clinical success from those with a low probability of clinical success. In some circumstances (e.g. patients with persistent and profound neutropenia, large lesions, or those with other features associated with a poor clinical outcome) a relatively high trough concentration should be sought. Preclinical and clinical data suggest this value should be >1 mg/L at steady state. For other patient groups a lower trough concentration may be acceptable. For prophylaxis a target concentration of >0.7 mg/L has been suggested.
Voriconazole	IP	IP	IP	IP	IP	IP	IP	IP	IP	IP	IP	IP	



Candida spp.

EUCAST Antifungal Clinical Breakpoint Table v. 4.1, valid from 2012-03-05

MIC method (EUCAST standardised broth microdilution method) Medium: RPMI1640-2% glucose, MOPS buffer Inoculum: Final 0.5x10⁵ – 2.5x10⁵ cfu/mL Incubation: 18-24h Reading: Spectrophotometric, full inhibition for amphotericin B but 50% growth inhibition for other compounds Quality control: *C. parapsilosis* ATCC 22019 or *C. krusei* ATCC 6258

						MIC b	preakp								
Antifungal agent	C. all	bicans	C. gla	abrata	C. k	rusei	C. para	psilosis	C. tro	tropicalis C. guillermondii Non-spe breakpoi		pecies ated points ¹	Notes		
	S≤	R >	S≤	R >	S≤	R >	S≤	R >	S≤	R >	S≤	R >	S≤	R >	
															 Non-species related breakpoints have been determined mainly on the basis of PK/PD data and are independent of MIC distributions of specific species. They are for use only for organisms that do not have specific breakpoints.
Amphotericin B	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	IE	<u>IE</u>	<u>IE</u>	<u>IE</u>	
<u>Anidulafungin</u>	0,03	0,03	0,06	0,06	0,06	0,06	-	-	0,06	0,06	IE ²	IE ²	IE	IE	2. The ECOFFs for these species are in general higher than for <i>C. albicans</i> .
Caspofungin	Note ³	Note ³	Note ³	Note ³	Note ³	Note ³	-	-	Note ³	Note ³	IE ²	IE ²	IE	IE	 Due to significant inter-laboratory variation in MIC ranges for caspofungin, EUCAST breakpoints have not yet been established.
Fluconazole	2	4	$\underline{IE^2}$	$\underline{IE^2}$			<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	$\underline{IE^2}$	<u>IE²</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	
Itraconazole	IP	IP	IP	IP	IP	IP	IP	IP	IP	IP	IP	IP	IP	IP	
Micafungin	IP	IP	IP	IP	IP	IP	-	-	IP	IP	IE ²	IE ²	IP	IP	
Posaconazole	0,06	0,06	IE ²	IE ²	IE ²	IE ²	0,06	0,06	0,06	0,06	IE ²	IE ²	IE	IE	
Voriconazole	0.12 ⁴	0.12 ⁴	IE	IE	IE	IE	0.12 ⁴	0.12 ⁴	0.12 ⁴	0.12 ⁴	IE ²	IE ²	IE	IE	4. Strains with MIC values above the S/I breakpoint are rare or not yet reported. The identification and antimicrobial susceptibility tests on any such isolate must be repeated and if the result is confirmed the isolate sent to a reference laboratory. Until there is evidence regarding clinical response for confirmed isolates with MIC above the current resistant breakpoint (in italics) they should be reported resistant.



• Web site update





- Voriconazole Aspergillus RD
- Breakpoint for Micafungin and Candida
 - Collecting MICs for ECOFF determinations
- Isavuconacole
 - Collecting MICs for ECOFF determinations
- Aspergillus echinocandin testing
- Remaining BPs
 - Candida and itraconazole
 - Candida and topical agents
- Systematic revision of existing documents